

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/916,388	GILLESPIE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Fred I. Ehichioya	2162	

All Participants:

(1) Fred I. Ehichioya.

(2) Anne Barry (Reg. No. 47,408).

Date of Interview: 29 March 2006

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1 - 62

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



SHAHID ALAM
PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner suggested to applicant's representative (Anne Barry) to amend the current claims by combining claims 16 and 17 to independent claim 1 and cancel claims 16 and 17; Ms. Barry was advised to amend independent claims 46 and 52 to include the limitations of claims 16 and 17 for a possible allowance. Applicant's representative was also advised to cancel the remaining claims (42 - 45, 48 - 51, and 53 - 61 to hasten the prosecution of this application. Ms. Barry accepted Examiner's propositions.